**Supply**

Date: January 8, 2025

**I. PURPOSE.**

This meeting is to establishes a recurring battle rhythm of the DoD Supply Working Group to deliver processes and quality data standards that exemplify Accuracy, Conformity, Consistency, and Integrity to our Logistics Supply Community.

**II. ATTENDEES**.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Component** | **Primary** | **Alternate** |
| **ODASD(LOG)** | Dawn Izzy | William “Bill” Galbraith |
| **DEDSO** | Dr. Gail Fuller Wes Best Steve Nace | William “Rip” Rippey Claudia Snowden |
| **DAAS** | Pablo Gomez | Gary Woodall |
| **Army** | Oliver Pryor | Tiffanie Dew |
| **Marine Corps** | Kevin Austin | Major Irsik |
| **Navy** | Elissa Harr | Gerald O’Sullivan |
| **Air Force** | Jesus Zuniga | William “Wes” Wenzel |
| **SOCOM** | Luke Boutot | CW5 Sanders |
| **TRANSCOM** | Dan Eisenberg | James Decarli |
| **DLA** | Brian Anderson | John McDonald |
| **DSCA** | Michelle Davis |  |
| **GSA** | Shanna Smith | George Oakes |
| **FAA** | Dr. Sarah Owens | Andrew Singer |

**III. Action Items**.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Date Opened** | **Action** | **Assigned To** | **Date Due** | **Date Closed** | **Status** |
| *10/16/2024* | MRA Monitoring/Reporting Scope | * Army * Marine Corps * Navy * Air Force | January 8, 2025 | Select date | OPEN |
| October 30, 2024 | Review the current DAAS MRA report and report back if there are any concerns that require a deeper review | * Army * Marine Corps * Navy * Air Force   DLA | January 8, 2025 | Select date | OPEN |
| November 13, 2024 | Request DAAS MRA report support for 1/8/25 meeting | DEDSO | January 8, 2025 | January 8, 2025 | CLOSED |
| January 8, 2025 | Review MRA auto-closure guidance and report back if procedures need modified to increase due diligence | * Army * Marine Corps * Navy * Air Force * DLA | January 22, 2025 | Select date | OPEN |

**IV. PDC/ADC Pipeline**

| **PDC/ADC #** | **Meeting Added** | **Title** | **Status** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ADC 1471 | 6/5/2024 | Addition of the contractor entity code (CAGE) in DLMS inventory 947I | In-development  (On hold) |
| PDC 1472 | 6/5/2024 | Procedures for Correcting/Adjusting Ownership Changes After Goods Receipt | Internal Staffing |
| PDC 1256A  (Draft) | 8/21/2024 | Proposed Defense Logistics Management Standards Change, Standard Return Procedures for Return of Navy-Owned Non-Consumable Unserviceable NIMSC-5 Items (Supply) Reference ADC 1256 | In Development with newly identified discoveries from our last Bi-Weekly Meeting. PDC # TBD (Deep Dive will be conducted with Components) |
| PDC 1477 | 9/11/2024 | Add DLMS 888W Weapon System Data Change Information to the DLM | Forwarded to Don for signature (on-hold) |
| PDC 1478 | 8/21/2024 | Clarified Price Adjustments via DLMS Status Update | In Development, will work with DAAS to ensure the process will be long term |
| PDC 1481 | 9/11/2024 | Disposition Services Revised Procedures for Segregating Foreign Military Sales Requisitions | Signed 12/6/24. Forwarded to the Stakeholders 12/10/24 |
| PDC 1482 | 9/11/2024 | Distinguishing Foreign Military Financing (FMF) Loan Guarantees | Forwarded for signature (12/18/24) |
| ADC 1378B | 10/2/2024 | ADC 1378B: Addendum to ADC 1378A Enhanced DLMS 940R Validations for Defense Logistics Agency (DLA Warehouse Management System | Signed 12/13/24. Forwarded to Stakeholders on 12/18/24 |
| PDC 1483 | 10/2/2024 | 867I Materiel Issue of Expended Ordnance | In-development |
| PDC 1460A | 11/30/2024 | Pre-Positioned Release Orders Process with Supporting Document Number | In review (on-hold) |

**V. Agenda Items**.

1. **Save-the-Date: DLMS Summit 29 April – 02 May 2025**
   * DEDSO confirmed the DLMS Summit dates and encouraged the functional representatives/SME’s to attend the conference.
   * DEDSO also stated that if anyone wanted to include any training or hot topics into the summit to please let us know soonest.
2. **Finance Process Review Committee (FPRC) Supply POC Request**
   * DEDSO referenced the combined ability between each functional areas to achieve audit readiness, and that Finance and Supply will be working together to bring in offline ordering systems personnel to discuss technical capabilities for offline ordering systems to use standard interchange/interfaces for systemically communicating information customers’ needs for their obligation requirements. Therefore, DEDSO Finance is requesting components to provide Points of Contact (POCs) for your Offline Ordering Systems.
3. **Annual Process Review Committee Appointment Letters**

* DEDSO reminded the Committee of the February 1, 2025, suspense for new Appointment Letters. [*DEDSO sent out the template immediately after the meeting.*]

1. **ADC 1343, Missing Materiel Receipt Acknowledgement (MRA) Closeout (Supply) (OPEN)**

## DEDSO (Update 01/08/2025) — Review the current DAAS MRA report and report back if there are any concerns that require a deeper review

* Army—Still working on gaining access. DEDSO provided a brief demonstration of the website and showed them how to access the report.
* Marine Corps—[*not in attendance*]
* Navy—No new updates
* Air Force—Have accessed the report and questioned if DAAS is monitoring all their supply systems for all the orders that are sent out. Air Force is trying to figure out what is the report measuring, and if it is measuring the requisitions in DLA is satisfying the return rate

from the various customers back to DLA. Air Force is trying to get their arms around the problem on their end regarding audit readiness.

* DEDSO Supply—DEDSO stated that in the DLMS volume 2, appendix 12 and DAAS Manual appendix 7, break down the logic on how this report is being pulled. DEDSO showed a demonstration on the most current report for January for MRA reporting for wholesale. DEDSO asked DAAS to clarify if DAAS is only pulling in the wholesale, and if the logic is per the previously stated manuals.
* DAAS— Any requisition that goes through DAAS to an identified wholesale ICP that requires a MRA gets counted. DAAS does not monitor anything outside of that and logic is per DEDSO’s guidance.
* Air Force—We are still questioning the numbers and will confirm with the D035 Team to see what they are showing for their MRA receipt rate. We are requesting that this topic be closed out. Air Force will continue reviewing internally.
* DEDSO—We still would like each DoD Component to review the auto-closure procedures and report back. Please determine if you feel that changes need to be made to show increased due diligence in attempting to resolve the non-receipt of the MRA before auto-closing.

## DEDSO (Update 11/13/2024)— Review the current DAAS MRA report and report back if there are any concerns that require a deeper review

* Army—Still working on gaining access. Not sure about DA, but HQAMC has not heard anything specific to issues related to MRA.
* Marine Corps—Still working through the process of gaining access to the DAAS report and having more specific MRA related discussions
* Navy—Still working on accessing the report.
* Air Force—Have accessed the report and based on numbers alone, we have no pressing issues. However, we would like to learn more about the business rules that DAAS is using to generate the report. Auditors have issues with the value threshold.
  + - DEDSO—We can work with DAAS to ensure that we have someone that can speak more specifically about how and what data is aggregated. DLM 4000.25, V2, Appendix 12 and DLM 4000.25-4, Appendix 7 describe the process. We do know that DLA Distribution is looking at a controlling backlog accountability pending MRA as part of their retrofit project. We may be able to work with DLA on getting them to update the working group.

## DEDSO (*Update 10/30/24*)—Looking for updates to past meeting due-outs from the last meeting.

* + - Army—We have not been monitoring though we have implemented the ADC.
    - Marine Corps—More research needs conducted internally
    - Navy—More research needs conducted internally.
    - Air Force—Retail is monitoring this, but we are unsure about wholesale. We will circle back on this.
    - ODASD(Log)—Confirmed that MRA is not being specifically reported at the SCMG.
* DEDSO—We are requesting that each Component please review the current MRA data [*DAAS Logistics Reports on MRAs displayed to group*], and based on that review, report back to the working group if you have any significant concerns. Next steps after that, will be to look at the auto-closure process and determine if any changes are required. Air Force did explain that from an audit perspective, there are concerns about how much research is being done prior to auto-closing the MRA.
* FAA—How do we get access to this data?
  + DAAS—You would just need to fill out a SAR to gain access.
  + FAA—Currently we are not sending 527R data but how will this impact G-Invoicing?
    - DESDO—We would need to defer to DEDSO Finance on anything G-Invoicing, but some transaction, such as a 527R, can perpetuate a financing transaction being sent.
* Army—We would just like to be cautious about making changes to the MRA auto-closure while change request are still in-process.
* ODASD—Concur with being cautious with making changes to the process.

## DEDSO (*Update 10/16/24*)—ADC 1343 established changes that enable the DoD Components to administratively close-out in-transit records when a MRA is not expected to be received, and after a prescribed number of follow-up attempts. Air Force explained that they have audit findings related to MRA processing that they are working at both the wholesale and retail levels. For these reasons, they expressed an interest in bringing this to the DLMS Supply Working Group to discuss whether we are improving our MRA receipt rates, and if not, if there are known issues that we should address as a DoD enterprise.

* + DEDSO—MRA monitoring/reporting is both a DLMS and Supply Chain Metrics Group metric. How is each Component monitoring, and is the reporting being duplicated to comply with DLMS and the SCMG?
    - * ODASD(Log)—MRA work is not quite discussed at the SCMG, discussion between metrics group & DAAS Report will be reviewed. **Action:**
* **ODASD(Log) to follow up offline to Steve for tracking.**
  + - Navy/Marine Corps—No. **Action: Review MRA monitoring/reporting and report back to the working group.**
    - Army—Has implemented 1343 and will close out the MRA if it’s in the timeframes. **Action: Army will follow up to see if there is additional reporting due from the Army.**
    - Air Force—Yes; need verification from the wholesale side. **Action: Conduct final internal assessment on MRA monitoring/reporting.**
    - DEDSO—VOL 2, Appendix 12 of DLMS, for awareness

## **VI. ACTIVITIES AND NEWLETTER.**

DEDSO monthly updates and activities for the DLMS Community can be found via the following link: [**https://www.dla.mil/Defense-Data-Standards/About-Us/**](https://www.dla.mil/Defense-Data-Standards/About-Us/)

## **VII. ADDITIONAL DEDSO Supply GENERAL COMMUNICATION.**

Do you have a question, hot topic, or an update related to **Supply**; we encourage you to send us an email at DEDSO.Supply@dla.mil.